

Bramshott and Liphook Parish Survey 2008



Survey Report

Table of Contents

I. Introduction.....3

II. Results Summary and Highlights3

 1. General.....3

 2. Housing and Development4

 3. Traffic and Transport4

 4. Employment.....5

 5. Education5

 6. Emergency Services and Crime5

 7. Retail.....6

 8. Sport and Leisure6

 9. Information Services.....6

 10. Local Government6

 11. Environment and Environmental Services7

 12. General Opinions about the Parish7

III. Acknowledgements.....8

Appendix 1 Tables and Graphs of Survey Data9

 Summary of “Love”, “Hate” and “Would Change” Comments.....47

Appendix 2 Notes53

I. Introduction

The Bramshott and Liphook Village Survey was conducted in 2008 through a postal survey sent to every household in the Parish. The aim of the survey was to discover facts and opinions about a wide variety of topics affecting householders in the Parish. It is the intention that this information will be taken forward into a Parish Plan which will lay out a shared vision for the community over the next +10 years. The Plan will set-out how community action can meet local needs, will help prioritise resources and provide important information to help influence policies.

3,900 surveys were distributed and 1577 responded representing a very good 40.4% rate of return. Many thanks go to all those who took the time to fill out their survey booklet as this information in aggregated form will be of great use in influencing future decisions about the Parish and local services.

This report summarises some of the interesting highlights and features of the answers received and provides full data reporting of every question in Appendix 1.

II. Results Summary and Highlights

This section brings together the main results and interesting answers to questions grouped under the survey headings. The analysis is intentionally brief as its purpose is to highlight the main features arising from the data. For a fuller appreciation, the report should be read in conjunction with the tables and graphs provided in Appendix 1.

1. General

The first section of the survey was designed to gather some general information about each household, its location and occupants in order to build a picture of the current make-up of the Parish.

The male/female split within the Parish was 48%/52% and the largest proportion of people fell into the 25-59 year old category. The distribution of the age groups and male/female split closely mirrored the Hampshire County Council predicted estimates for 2000 based on the 1991 census data indicating a good match of those sampled to actual population and provides confidence that the survey is representative of the Parish as a whole.

Most households had 1, 2, 3 or 4 occupants with higher occupancy levels being quite rare. The most common category was 37.1% for 2 occupants in a house, with single occupancy at 23.3%.

As might be expected, the main density of population existed in Liphook (areas 1 to 4) with 78.9% living in these areas. However, this still leaves a significant minority who live in the more outlying areas of the Parish.

Most households had been in the Parish 6 years or longer with only 20.4% having been here less than 5 years. A small 8.7% had been in the Parish for over 50 years. The main reason cited for living in the Parish was liking the area, although all the other reasons were fairly equal contributory factors at around 20% each.

33.7% of households thought that the quality of life had worsened compared to ten years ago and only 11.9% thought it had got better. 24.3% didn't know and 24.2% thought it had remained the same.

2. Housing and Development

This section covered a variety of issues and factors such as type and size of homes, current and future development, energy saving features and broadband at home.

The majority of homes in the Parish are owner-occupied at 86.7%. The largest proportion of houses were detached (44.1%) with the majority of homes being either three or four-bedroomed. Most did not want to move from their current home, but of those who did, price was the main barrier.

Almost all households had some form of energy-saving feature with the most common being double-glazing and loft insulation, where in both cases over 85% of households had these installed. Cavity wall insulation featured in 45.3% of homes. 65.9% of households had broadband at home contrasting with 25.5% who had no internet at all.

Most felt that too much housing had been built over the last 10 years and nearly a third thought that no further homes were needed in the future. For those who felt that the Parish needed further housing, starter homes and small family homes were the most required categories with 33.2% and 30.5% respectively. Business development was supported in some form by most households and the largest requirement (62.8%) was for small shops.

3. Traffic and Transport

This section looked at type and frequency of use of transport, possible problems associated with traffic, and quality of services for pedestrians and other road users.

Nearly 70% of households used a car or van for going to work or education. Just over a quarter used the train and nearly a third walked for some of their journey, but only 8.6% used a bus. 84% of those questioned said that they never use a bus for any purpose. Most people who used a train only did so occasionally (61.1%), with 10.5% using it daily.

Most households experienced no difficulties getting in and out of the Parish due to public transport problems. The most common reason for frequent difficulties was the volume of traffic/jams at 33.5% of households. This may in part be due to the congestion at school times which was highlighted as a particular issue that respondents "hated" about Liphook. Traffic problems in general in the Parish were also mainly attributed to congestion for 78.4% of respondents, with 40.5% considering lorries to be a contributing factor. Frequent parking problems were focussed on parking near the village centres and schools with 15% and 14.9% respectively often experiencing problems.

The worst area for cyclists' facilities was cycle paths, rated as poor by 41.5%. Pedestrians and those with disabilities, wheelchairs and pushchairs rated poor pavements and crossings as the most significant area with approximately a quarter of households expressing this view.

58.8% of households said that they would never use a dial-a-bus serving the Parish with a further 16.8% not understanding the service. Approximately one third of households were either unaware of the village bus (route 250) or would never use it. For those who do use the village bus, a more frequent service was given as the main reason for potential, future increased usage.

4. Employment

This section of the survey looked at categories of employment within households, numbers of people in the household looking for work and reasons for not finding work, as well as distances commuted to the workplace.

59.7% of households had at least one household member who was currently in employment. Self employment featured in 16% of households. For households with at least one person in employment/self-employment, the numbers of people commuting to work was approximately equally split between travelling up to 5 miles, travelling 6 to 20 miles and those travelling over 20 miles.

The next largest category was at 40.8% for households containing at least one person who is retired.

In contrast, just over 1% of households contained somebody who was unemployed and neither sick/disabled nor choosing not to work. Those seeking work mostly wanted full-time employment of over 30 hours per week although there was also a demand for part-time work. The main reason given for not finding work was the lack of job vacancies.

5. Education

The Education section looked at the divisions between numbers of people attending different levels of education, the perceived requirement for additional educational facilities and barriers to children taking part in after-school activities.

As expected, the main contributing levels of education were primary and secondary schooling where 13.6% and 12.6% of households contained at least one child attending respectively. Most households felt that there were no problems with attending after-school activities, but of those who did have problems, the main reasons given were no suitable activities and the expense. There would appear to be some demand for more after-school clubs as 11.6% of households felt that the Parish needed more of this type of educational facility.

Nursery, sixth-form and university each had just over 6% of households with at least one person attending these institutions. Almost 14% felt that more adult education was needed in the Parish.

6. Emergency Services and Crime

Emergency Services and Crime focused on households' experiences and opinions of crime and the emergency services.

The majority of households had never experienced any of the suggested crimes, however of those who had experienced crime or anti-social behaviour, the main contributors were from drunkenness and anti-social behaviour. This is further backed up by the results of the written opinions canvassed at the back of the survey where large numbers of people gave anti-social behaviour as a feature of the Parish that they particularly disliked. Impression of crime compared to 5 years ago gave a mixed response with 56.9% either not knowing or thinking that it had stayed the same. 37.9% believed it was worse and only 1.6% believed it had got better. The majority of people were not in a Neighbourhood Watch scheme and 20% of those surveyed would like to be part of a scheme.

The views on emergency services also produced a mixed response with around half of households not knowing about the standard of fire, police or ambulance services. 29.5% felt that the hospital A & E services were poor, whilst in contrast only 1% thought that fire services were poor. In fact, the fire service received the largest share of a good standard of service at 33.5% compared to the police at around 7%. A poor standard of service from the police and PCSOs was the view of 23.7% and 19.5% respectively.

7. Retail

This investigated the importance of various facilities in the Parish and how households might be encouraged to make more use of the local shops and services. The pharmacy and local shops were given a positive feedback with 82.1% and 75% respectively of households rating them as very important. The Post Office, local supermarkets and petrol stations also featured highly (above 65%) as being very important to households. Take-aways attracted the lowest percentage who thought that they were very important (15.2%). The main factors that would encourage more use of the retail facilities were more independent shops and a wider range of shops.

8. Sport and Leisure

This section studied the opinion of households about the quality of leisure facilities in the Parish by age group and looked at factors that would encourage people to make more use of them.

Not many households rated the facilities as good for any age group except for the over 60s where 14% felt that the leisure facilities were good. Nearly 30% of households rated facilities for the 16-18 year old category as poor. The main factor influencing households' increased use of the facilities would be more choice of activities.

A specific question about possible use of a future youth club only received a positive response for 11.4%. By contrast 38% thought they would use a Heritage Centre for pursuing an interest in local history.

9. Information Services

This section asked about the sources of information and frequency of use of local information services.

Most households either used the community magazine (74.4%), free paper or local paper to find out about events in the Parish. The library had the largest number of households (25.3%) using it more than 10 times a year. The CAB and Liphook's websites had the largest number of households never using them at 69.3% and 68.9%.

10. Local Government

This looked at the opinions on the quality of local services from the different councils managing the Parish.

Just over or just under half of households did not know about any of the services and activities in this area, rising to nearly 80% for the Parish Council website. Very few households thought any of the services and activities were good, except the Parish Office which received a 20% share of households rating the service to be good. Awareness of local concerns was rated as poor for the Parish Council by 20.4%, rising to 25.9% for the District Council and rising again to 30.5% for the County Council.

11. Environment and Environmental Services

This section covered a wide variety of issues relating to the environment, countryside and associated services.

73.1% of those surveyed thought that the plant beds and verges were in good condition and this is backed by the large number of people who commented at the back of the survey that they loved Liphook in Bloom. None of the Parish public spaces were viewed as being in a poor condition by a significant percentage of respondents. However, nearly half of households had experienced problems with litter on pavements and verges and almost 30% had experienced similar problems with dog fouling.

Not many households experienced noise often from the suggested sources. The exception to this was the noise from helicopters that often affected 33.6% of households. A further 44.1% had infrequent disturbance from the same source, whilst 49.1% experienced infrequent noise from the army ranges.

Flooding was not considered to be a big issue with 67.7% thankfully having never experienced this phenomenon. However 7% of households reported experiencing frequent problems.

Most households had an opinion on different services such as refuse/recycling and mains utilities, however these opinions differed widely across the individual services. A clear issue was road maintenance where over half of households rated this as poor and 41.6% of households did not know that there was a public toilet.

The countryside was felt to have changed for worse in recent years by 31.8%, contrasting with only 7.6% who felt it had changed for the better. Despite this, the surrounding countryside was one of the main reasons given for loving the Parish at the back of the survey. The main issues on rights-of-way were litter (40.3%) and fly-tipping (37.2%), followed by vehicular damage at 19.5%.

12. General Opinions about the Parish

This section captured households' general opinions about the Parish expressed in free text form in three categories: "Love", "Hate" and "Would Change". Respondents were invited to list their top three views in each category. The nature of such unstructured responses makes it particularly difficult to summarise neatly. However some clear themes did emerge from the data as follows.

Love

The responses were dominated by two subjects, the environment and local community.

The environment around the Parish was the one of the most frequently cited positive views. This included the natural environment and countryside with ease of access and peace and quiet. Low crime rates were also appreciated.

Local community and community activities featured equally highly with particular emphasis on friendly community spirit, Liphook in Bloom and sports/social clubs.

In addition, the geographical location and good transport links, including the mainline railway station, were important to many households, as were the range of shops and facilities.

Hate

There were four themes running through the responses for this section.

Firstly, there were issues relating to housing and shops. These were mainly expressed as too much housing/overdevelopment and the dominance of Sainsbury's and consequential lack of other local shops.

Secondly, an area which really concerned households was anti-social behaviour particularly linked to drunkenness and groups of young people in the public areas of the village.

Thirdly, issues relating to the environment were frequently raised. The main focus was on traffic congestion, particularly at school times, speeding and the road condition. Litter and noise were also disliked.

Lastly, an ineffectual Parish Council was the source of some frustration and the rubbish collection cycle was also mentioned.

Would Change

This section mirrored the household "hates" to a large extent, particularly in the areas of development, traffic congestion and for more varied independent shops.

Also of note is a wish to improve road surfaces and pavements (although Headley road has been re-laid since date of survey).

A number of households would like to see a more visible police presence and this was backed up by the impressions of crime having got worse coupled with the dislike of antisocial-behaviour.

In the area of sport and leisure, there was a desire to improve the sports facilities and some wished to see a swimming pool/leisure centre for the Parish. More facilities for teenagers was also a feature for change.

There was a recurring comment in this section for redevelopment of the Station Road area and less closed shops.

III. Acknowledgements

The Parish Council wish to thank the Working Party for their dedication to a demanding and work intensive project.

Councillors: Phil Jordan (Chairman), Nick Everett (to November 2008) Michael Croucher, Barbara Easton, John Tough and Jackie Pool (in 2009)

Co-opted Members: Kate Everett and Les Futcher

The Working Party wish to offer their appreciation and special thanks to Kate Everett who proved to be the true driving force and skill base of the project. Also to Jane Jordan and John Pontet for their support in the arduous task of data input.

Thanks to Print I.T. for production of the survey booklets.

The survey was produced with financial assistance from East Hampshire District Council

Appendix 1 Tables and Graphs of Survey Data


1. General

Question 1.1


Please enter the number of people in your household in each age group.

Males			
Age	Number Males	Age category / Total No. Males (%)	Age Category / Total No. People (%)
0-4 yrs	79	4.2	2
5-10 yrs	141	7.4	3.6
11-15 yrs	148	7.8	3.8
16-17 yrs	64	3.4	1.6
18-24 yrs	165	8.7	4.2
25-59 yrs	760	40.1	19.3
60-64 yrs	178	9.4	4.5
65-75 yrs	207	10.9	5.2
>75 yrs	155	8.2	3.9
Total	1897	100	48

Females			
Age	Number Females	Age category / Total No. Females (%)	Age Category / Total No. People (%)
0-4 yrs	71	3.5	1.8
5-10 yrs	145	7.1	3.7
11-15 yrs	135	6.6	3.4
16-17 yrs	65	3.2	1.6
18-24 yrs	123	6	3.1
25-59 yrs	864	42.2	21.9
60-64 yrs	180	8.8	4.6
65-75 yrs	246	12	6.2
>75 yrs	220	10.7	5.6
Total	2049	100	52





Household Size	Number Households	% Households
Spoilt	14	0.89
1 occupant	367	23.27
2 occupants	585	37.1
3 occupants	207	13.13
4 occupants	273	17.31
5 occupants	98	6.21
6 occupants	27	1.71
7 occupants	4	0.25
8 occupants	2	0.13
9 occupants	0	0
10 occupants	0	0
Total	1577	100
Mean Household Size	2.5	



Question 1.2

Please select the area of the Parish that best represents the location of your household.

Area	Number Households	% Households
Bramshott	100	6.3
Bramshott Chase	9	0.6
Conford	34	2.2
Griggs Green	50	3.2
Hammer Vale	26	1.6
Hewshott Lane	22	1.4
Hill House Hill	13	0.8
Liphook Area 1	304	19.3
Liphook Area 2	366	23.2
Liphook Area 3	324	20.5
Liphook Area 4	251	15.9
Passfield	60	3.8
Spoilt	18	1.1
Total	1577	100




Sketch Map of Liphook showing Areas 1- 4 (not to scale)

Question 1.3

How long has your household been in the Parish?


No. of Years in Parish	No. Households	% Households
< 1 YR	51	3.2
1-5 YR	272	17.2
6-15 YR	419	26.6
16-25 YR	263	16.7
26-50 YR	409	25.9
> 50 YR	137	8.7
Spoilt	26	1.6
Total	1577	100



Question 1.4

What are your reasons for being in the Parish?


Reason for being in Parish	No. Households	% of Households giving this reason
RELATIVES NEARBY	390	24.7
EMPLOYMENT	343	21.8
RETIREMENT	334	21.2
LIKE THE AREA	967	61.3
HOUSING AVAILABILITY	303	19.2
CHILDREN'S EDUCATION	292	18.5
OTHER	211	13.4



Question 1.5

In the opinion of your household, how is the quality of life in the Parish compared to 10 years ago?

Quality of Life Compared to 10 Years Ago	No. Households	% Households
BETTER	187	11.9
WORSE	531	33.7
SAME	381	24.2
DON'T KNOW	383	24.3
Spoilt	95	6
Total	1577	100




2. Housing and Development

Question 2.1

Is your home ...?


Occupancy Type	No. Households	% Households
RENTED	78	4.9
OWNER OCCUPIED	1367	86.7
HOUSING ASSOCIATION	100	6.3
EMPLOYER PROVIDED	6	0.4
PART RENT / PART OWN	12	0.8
OTHER	3	0.2
Spoilt	11	0.7
Total	1577	100



Question 2.2

What type of dwelling is your home?


Type of Dwelling	No. Households	% Households
DETACHED	695	44.1
SEMI-DETACHED	320	20.3
TERRACED	192	12.2
BUNGALOW	215	13.6
FLAT/MAISONETTE	104	6.6
SHELTERED HOUSING WITH WARDEN	29	1.8
SHELTERED HOUSING WITHOUT WARDEN	5	0.3
ADAPTED HOUSING	0	0
MOBILE HOME / CARAVAN	1	0.1
OTHER	8	0.5
Spoilt	8	0.5
Total	1577	100



Question 2.3

How many bedrooms does your home have?


No. bedrooms	No. Households	% Households
1 bedroom	76	4.8
2 bedrooms	245	15.5
3 bedrooms	605	38.4
4 bedrooms	455	28.9
5 bedrooms	126	8
6 bedrooms	30	1.9
7 bedrooms	5	0.3
8 bedrooms	5	0.3
9 bedrooms	0	0
>10 bedrooms	7	0.4
Spoilt	23	1.5
Total	1577	100



Question 2.4

Have you got the internet at home?


Internet Access	No. Households	% Households
None	402	25.5
DIAL-UP	89	5.6
BROADBAND	1039	65.9
Spoilt	47	3
Total	1577	100



Question 2.5

Does your home have any of the following energy saving features?


Energy Saving Features	No. Households with this Feature	% Households with this Feature
LAGGED HOT-WATER TANK	1228	77.9
LOFT INSULATION	1363	86.4
DOUBLE GLAZING	1386	87.9
SECONDARY GLAZING	111	7
CAVITY WALL INSULATION	714	45.3
WATER SAVING DEVICE	240	15.2
LOW ENERGY BULBS	1116	70.8
OTHER	79	5
NONE	8	0.5



Question 2.6

If your household or a member of your household wishes to move locally but is unable, is this because:


Barriers to Moving Home	No. Households giving this Reason	% Households giving this Reason
PRICE	275	17.4
LACK SUITABLE HOUSING	112	7.1
NO RENTED HOUSING	57	3.6
NO HOUSING ASSOCIATION HOMES	58	3.7
NO SPECIALISED HOUSING	12	0.8
OTHER	44	2.8
DON'T WANT TO MOVE	1030	65.3



Question 2.7

What do you think about the number of houses that have been built in the Parish over the last 10 years?


Opinion on Quantity of Housing built in last 10 Years	No. Households giving this Opinion	% Households giving this Opinion
ABOUT RIGHT	389	24.7
TOO MUCH HOUSING	760	48.2
TOO LITTLE HOUSING	40	2.5
TOO EXPENSIVE	375	23.8
TOO BIG	101	6.4
TOO SMALL	62	3.9
NO OPINION	255	16.2



Question 2.8

What kind of housing do you think that the Parish needs?


Types of Housing Needed	No. Households giving this Opinion	% Households giving this Opinion
STARTER HOMES	524	33.2
SMALL FAMILY HOMES	481	30.5
LARGE FAMILY HOMES	144	9.1
HOMES FOR PEOPLE WITH DISABILITIES	162	10.3
HOMES FOR SINGLE PEOPLE	265	16.8
RETIREMENT HOUSING	185	11.7
OTHER	23	1.5
NO FURTHER HOMES NEEDED	510	32.3
DON'T KNOW	232	14.7



Question 2.9

What kind of business development do you think that the Parish needs?

Types of Business Development Needed	No. Households giving this Opinion	% Households giving this Opinion
LIGHT INDUSTRIAL	361	22.9
BUSINESS UNITS	431	27.3
SMALL SHOPS	991	62.8
LARGE SHOPS	215	13.6
NO FURTHER DEVELOPMENT	242	15.3
DON'T KNOW	107	6.8




3. Traffic and Transport

Question 3.1

Please enter the total number of people in your household that use the following modes of transport to work, education or training?


Mode of Transport	No. Households	% Households
CAR/VAN	1067	67.7
BUS	135	8.6
TRAIN	412	26.1
MOTORBIKE	44	2.8
BIKE	144	9.1
WALKING	494	31.3
OTHER	18	1.1
N/A	331	21



Question 3.2

How often does your household use the following means of transport?


Mode of Transport	Never		Occasionally		Weekly		Daily	
	No. Households	% Households	No. Households	% Households	No. Households	% Households	No. Households	% Households
BUS	1321	83.8	147	9.3	27	1.7	54	3.4
TRAIN	242	15.3	964	61.1	177	11.2	166	10.5
COACH	1475	93.5	71	4.5	2	0.1	1	0.1
COMMUNITY BUS	1468	93.1	64	4.1	13	0.8	4	0.3
VILLAGE BUS	1364	86.5	132	8.4	37	2.3	16	1
SCHOOL BUS	1515	96.1	9	0.6	2	0.1	23	1.5



Question 3.3

Please rate how often you experience transport difficulties getting in/out or around the Parish caused by the following reasons:


Reasons for Transport Difficulties	Never		Sometimes		Often	
	No. Households	% Households	No. Households	% Households	No. Households	% Households
LACK PUBLIC TRANSPORT	1050	66.6	261	16.6	184	11.7
UNSUITABLE PUBLIC TRANSPORT	1222	77.5	163	10.3	110	7
FREQUENCY PUBLIC TRANSPORT	1017	64.5	289	18.3	189	12
COST	1155	73.2	186	11.8	154	9.8
VOLUME TRAFFIC /JAMS	389	24.7	577	36.6	529	33.5
ROADWORKS	651	41.3	694	44	150	9.5



Question 3.4

Please rate how often you experience parking problems around the Parish due to the following reasons:


Parking Problems	Never		Sometimes		Often	
	No. Households	% Households	No. Households	% Households	No. Households	% Households
LIPHOOK CENTRES	618	39.2	624	39.6	237	15
STREET NEAR HOME	1177	74.6	193	12.2	109	6.9
SCHOOLS	1086	68.9	158	10	235	14.9
RAILWAY	996	63.2	318	20.2	164	10.4
LIPHOOK MILLENIUM CENTRE	1040	65.9	293	18.6	146	9.3



Question 3.5

Do you think that any traffic problems in the Parish are related to any of the following?


Traffic Problems	No. Households giving this Opinion	% Households giving this Opinion
CONGESTION	1237	78.4
LACK OF PARKING	451	28.6
LORRIES	638	40.5
SPEED	368	23.3
PEDESTRIAN/VEHICLE CONFLICT	365	23.1
NO PROBLEMS	86	5.5



Question 3.6

What is your opinion of the following facilities for cyclists?

Facilities for Cyclists	Don't Know		Poor		Reasonable		Good	
	No. Households	% Households	No. Households	% Households	No. Households	% Households	No. Households	% Households
CYCLE PATHS	607	38.5	655	41.5	195	12.4	47	3
CYCLE STANDS	868	55	508	32.2	105	6.7	23	1.5
ROAD WIDTH	562	35.6	531	33.7	361	22.9	50	3.2
SURFACE QUALITY	494	31.3	613	38.9	354	22.4	43	2.7
STREET LIGHTING	585	37.1	260	16.5	484	30.7	175	11.1





Question 3.7

What is your opinion of the following facilities for pedestrians and those with pushchairs, disabilities and wheelchairs?

	Facilities for Pedestrians							
	Don't Know		Poor		Reasonable		Good	
	No. Households	% Households	No. Households	% Households	No. Households	% Households	No. Households	% Households
PAVEMENTS	128	8.1	374	23.7	851	54	167	10.6
CROSSINGS	129	8.2	402	25.5	804	51	185	11.7
ACCESS TO SHOPS	160	10.1	172	10.9	916	58.1	272	17.2
LIGHTING DROPPED	227	14.4	235	14.9	758	48.1	300	19
KERBS	349	22.1	182	11.5	741	47	248	15.7


	Facilities for Disabilities, Pushchairs or Wheelchairs							
	Don't Know		Poor		Reasonable		Good	
	No. Households	% Households	No. Households	% Households	No. Households	% Households	No. Households	% Households
PAVEMENTS	702	44.5	422	26.8	340	21.6	56	3.6
CROSSINGS	714	45.3	356	22.6	360	22.8	90	5.7
ACCESS TO SHOPS	764	48.4	275	17.4	398	25.2	83	5.3
LIGHTING DROPPED	774	49.1	163	10.3	417	26.4	166	10.5
KERBS	779	49.4	246	15.6	374	23.7	121	7.7



Question 3.8

Would any of the following improvements mean that anyone in your household would use the village bus (route 250) more often?


Improvements to Village Bus (250)	No. Households giving this Opinion	% Households giving this Opinion
NOT AWARE OF ROUTE	527	33.4
YES, EARLIER IN DAY	66	4.2
YES, LATER IN DAY	97	6.2
YES, MORE FREQUENT SERVICE	220	14
YES, ALTERED ROUTE	179	11.4
YES, OTHER REASON	55	3.5
NO, NOT CHANGE USE	287	18.2
NO, NEVER USE	507	32.1



Question 3.9

How often would anyone in your household use a door-to-door dial-a-bus service that served the Parish?

Frequency of Use of a Dial-a-Bus Service	No. Households giving this Opinion	% Households giving this Opinion
DON'T UNDERSTAND	265	16.8
DAILY	13	0.8
WEEKLY	39	2.5
OCCASIONALLY	288	18.3
NEVER	928	58.8




4. Employment

Question 4.1

How many people in your household are at present ...?


Employment	No. Households	% Households
EMPLOYED	942	59.7
UNEMPLOYED	17	1.1
SELF-EMPLOYED	252	16
RETIRED	643	40.8
EDUCATION/TRAINING	400	25.4
NOT WORKING THROUGH CHOICE	112	7.1
SICK/DISABLED	74	4.7
NONE OF THESE	58	3.7



Question 4.2

Please enter the total number of people in your household (over 16 years old) who are seeking work locally:


Households Looking for Work Locally	No. Households	% Households
FULL-TIME	124	7.9
PART-TIME 15-30 HRS	81	5.1
PART-TIME <15 HRS	65	4.1
NOT LOOKING LOCALLY	90	5.7
NOT SEEKING WORK AT ALL	906	57.5



Question 4.3

If anybody in your household is seeking work, are there any barriers to prevent them taking up employment, training or study opportunities?


Barriers to Taking up Employment, Training or Study	No. Households giving this Opinion	% Households giving this Opinion
LACK OF CHILDCARE	34	2.2
COST OF CHILDCARE	47	3
LACK OF ADULT CARE	6	0.4
NO TRANSPORT	27	1.7
LACK OF QUALIFICATIONS	22	1.4
NO TRAINING AVAILABLE	9	0.6
SICKNESS / DISABILITY	18	1.1
NO VACANCIES	97	6.2
OTHER	48	3
N/A	1096	69.5



Question 4.4

Please enter the total number of people in your household who travel the following distances to work:

Distances Travelled to Work	No. Households	% Households
0-5 MILES	416	26.4
6-20 MILES	443	28.1
>20 MILES	506	32.1
N/A	482	30.6




5. Education

Question 5.1

Please enter the number of people in your household who attend the following:


Education	No. Households	% Households
NURSERY	98	6.2
PRIMARY	215	13.6
SECONDARY	199	12.6
SPECIAL NEEDS	4	0.3
SIXTH FORM	100	6.3
UNIVERSITY	99	6.3
OTHER FORMAL EDUCATION	48	3
N/A	808	51.2



Question 5.2

Please list reasons why any children in your household are unable to take part in after-school activities:


Barriers to Participation in After-School Activities	No. Households	% Households
N/A	1143	72.5
NO PROBLEMS	181	11.5
LACK OF TRANSPORT	20	1.3
NO SUITABLE ACTIVITIES	37	2.3
DISTANCE	16	1
EXPENSE	38	2.4
SPECIAL NEEDS NOT CATERED FOR	3	0.2
OTHER	30	1.9



Question 5.3

In relation to educational facilities, does the Parish need any or any more of the following?

Need for Additional Educational Facilities	No. Households	% Households
NURSERY	57	3.6
PLAYGROUP	31	2
AFTER SCHOOL CLUBS	183	11.6
HOLIDAY PLAY SCHEMES	136	8.6
ADULT EDUCATION	219	13.9
NO FACILITIES NEEDED	155	9.8
DON'T KNOW	837	53.1




6. Emergency Services and Crime

Question 6.1

Is your home within a Neighbourhood Watch scheme?


Membership of Neighbourhood Watch	No. Households	% Households
YES	261	16.6
NO	552	35
NO, BUT WOULD LIKE TO BE	316	20
DON'T KNOW	411	26.1
SPOILT	37	2.3
Total	1577	100



Question 6.2

What are your views on the standard of the following services for the Parish?


SERVICES	Don't Know		Poor		Reasonable		Good	
	No. Households	% Households	No. Households	% Households	No. Households	% Households	No. Households	% Households
FIRE	838	53.1	16	1	167	10.6	528	33.5
POLICE	731	46.4	374	23.7	326	20.7	118	7.5
POLICE COMMUNITY SUPPORT OFFICERS	837	53.1	307	19.5	295	18.7	110	7
AMBULANCE (EMERGENCY)	724	45.9	138	8.8	342	21.7	345	21.9
HOSPITAL A & E	466	29.5	466	29.5	396	25.1	221	14



Question 6.3

How often has your household experienced any of the following crimes and anti-social behaviour in the Parish?


Experience of Crime	Never Experienced		Infrequently		Often	
	No. Households	% Households	No. Households	% Households	No. Households	% Households
BURGLARY	1292	81.9	198	12.6	24	1.5
VANDALISM	1181	74.9	279	17.7	54	3.4
THEFT FROM OR DAMAGE TO VEHICLES	1234	78.2	241	15.3	39	2.5
DRUNKENNESS	1029	65.3	318	20.2	167	10.6
MUGGING	1489	94.4	23	1.5	2	0.1
ANTI-SOCIAL BEHAVIOUR	843	53.5	486	30.8	185	11.7



Question 6.4

What is your impression of the level of crime in the Parish compared to 5 years ago?

Impression of Crime	No. Households	% Households
BETTER	25	1.6
WORSE	598	37.9
SAME	324	20.5
DON'T KNOW	574	36.4
SPOILT	56	3.6
Total	1577	100




7. Retail

Question 7.1

How important are the following facilities in the Parish to your household?


Facilities	Unimportant		Quite Important		Very Important	
	No. Households	% Households	No. Households	% Households	No. Households	% Households
POST OFFICE	62	3.9	374	23.7	1107	70.2
LIBRARY	351	22.3	553	35.1	639	40.5
LOCAL SUPERMARKETS	113	7.2	383	24.3	1047	66.4
LOCAL SHOPS	59	3.7	301	19.1	1183	75
PETROL	155	9.8	349	22.1	1039	65.9
PUBS AND RESTAURANTS	362	23	635	40.3	546	34.6
TAKE-AWAYS	808	51.2	495	31.4	240	15.2
BANKS AND BUILDING SOCS.	143	9.1	445	28.2	955	60.6
PHARMACY	40	2.5	208	13.2	1295	82.1



Question 7.2

Would your household use retail facilities in the Parish more, if ...?

Improvement of Retail Facilities	No. Households	% Households
BETTER OPENING HOURS	225	14.3
EASIER ACCESS AND PARKING	416	26.4
MORE INDEPENDENT SHOPS	1074	68.1
MORE CHAIN STORES	266	16.9
WIDER RANGE OF SHOPS	1146	72.7
WIDER RANGE OF PUBS / RESTAURANTS	544	34.5
WIDER RANGE OF TAKE-AWAYS	139	8.8




8. Sport and Leisure

Question 8.1

Would your household use sport and leisure facilities in the Parish more, if ...?


Improvement of Sport and Leisure Facilities	No. Households	% Households
MORE SUITABLE OPENING HOURS	152	9.6
BETTER PUBLICITY	376	23.8
BETTER TRANSPORT	114	7.2
LESS EXPENSIVE	467	29.6
BETTER QUALITY FACILITIES	444	28.2
MORE CHOICE	604	38.3



Question 8.2

Would anyone in your household use the following, if they existed in the Parish?


Future Facilities	No. Households	% Households
YOUTH CLUB	180	11.4
HERITAGE CENTRE	600	38



Question 8.3

What are your views on social and leisure facilities in the Parish for ...?

Age Category	Don't Know		Poor		Reasonable		Good	
	No. Households	% Households	No. Households	% Households	No. Households	% Households	No. Households	% Households
<10 YRS OLD	996	63.2	165	10.5	246	15.6	99	6.3
10-15 YRS OLD	966	61.3	331	21	160	10.1	49	3.1
16-18 YRS OLD	952	60.4	471	29.9	70	4.4	13	0.8
19-25 YRS OLD	992	62.9	331	21	154	9.8	29	1.8
26-60 YRS OLD	680	43.1	305	19.3	436	27.6	85	5.4
>60 YRS OLD	681	43.2	196	12.4	409	25.9	220	14




9. Information Services

Question 9.1

Where do you usually get information about events taking place in the Parish?


Sources of Information	No. Households	% Households
PARISH BOARD	262	16.6
COMMUNITY MAGAZINE	1173	74.4
FREE PAPER	1033	65.5
LOCAL PAPER	912	57.8
LIBRARY	323	20.5
LOCAL RADIO	328	20.8
LIPHOOK WEBSITES	177	11.2
SHOP WINDOWS	609	38.6
PARISH OFFICE	218	13.8
OTHER	151	9.6



Question 9.2

How many times a year does your household use the following information services?

Information Services	Never		< 5 times a year		5-10 times a year		> 10 times a year	
	No. Households	% Households	No. Households	% Households	No. Households	% Households	No. Households	% Households
LIBRARY	559	35.4	364	23.1	201	12.7	399	25.3
CITIZEN'S ADVICE BUREAU	1093	69.3	375	23.8	46	2.9	9	0.6
INFO. POINT IN PARISH OFFICE	928	58.8	436	27.6	125	7.9	34	2.2
LIPHOOK WEBSITES	1087	68.9	220	14	106	6.7	110	7




10. Local Government

Question 10.1

What is your opinion of the quality of the following Council services and activities?

Council Services and Activities	Don't Know		Poor		Reasonable		Good	
	No. Households	% Households	No. Households	% Households	No. Households	% Households	No. Households	% Households
PARISH OFFICE	725	46	95	6	358	22.7	315	20
PARISH COUNCIL WEBSITE	1243	78.8	89	5.6	132	8.4	29	1.8
PUBLICITY PARISH COUNCIL MEETINGS	896	56.8	316	20	233	14.8	48	3
RELEVANCE PARISH COUNCIL DECISIONS	894	56.7	276	17.5	282	17.9	41	2.6
PARISH COUNCIL AWARENESS OF LOCAL CONCERNS	746	47.3	322	20.4	349	22.1	76	4.8
DISTRICT COUNCIL AWARENESS OF LOCAL CONCERNS	765	48.5	408	25.9	288	18.3	32	2
COUNTY COUNCIL AWARENESS OF LOCAL CONCERNS	786	49.8	481	30.5	208	13.2	18	1.1




11. Environment and Environmental Services

Question 11.1

How often do you have flooding problems due to surface water or storm drainage?


Frequency of Flooding	No. Households	% Households
FREQUENTLY	110	7
OCCASIONALLY	301	19.1
NEVER	1068	67.7
DON'T KNOW	52	3.3
SPOILT	46	2.9
Total	1577	100



Question 11.2

What are your views on the condition of the following areas in the Parish?


Areas of Parish	Don't Know		Poor		Reasonable		Good	
	No. Households	% Households	No. Households	% Households	No. Households	% Households	No. Households	% Households
PLANT BEDS AND VERGES	23	1.5	33	2.1	324	20.5	1152	73.1
VILLAGE GREEN	170	10.8	80	5.1	701	44.5	581	36.8
RECREATION AREAS	278	17.6	136	8.6	701	44.5	417	26.4
RADFORD PARK	322	20.4	77	4.9	534	33.9	599	38



Question 11.3

What are your views on the standard of the following services in the Parish?

Services	Don't Know		Poor		Reasonable		Good	
	No. Households	% Households	No. Households	% Households	No. Households	% Households	No. Households	% Households
MAINS WATER	125	7.9	100	6.3	618	39.2	679	43.1
MAINS DRAINAGE	195	12.4	145	9.2	595	37.7	587	37.2
MAINS GAS	255	16.2	47	3	464	29.4	756	47.9
MAINS ELECTRICITY	100	6.3	159	10.1	639	40.5	624	39.6
REFUSE / RECYCLING	45	2.9	291	18.5	604	38.3	582	36.9
STREET LIGHTING	112	7.1	223	14.1	758	48.1	429	27.2
PUBLIC TOILETS	656	41.6	490	31.1	331	21	45	2.9
ROADSIDE CARE / CLEANING	120	7.6	523	33.2	720	45.7	159	10.1
ROAD MAINTENANCE	93	5.9	800	50.7	567	36	62	3.9
VERGE MAINTENANCE	109	6.9	422	26.8	805	51	186	11.8
WINTER WEATHER SERVICE	209	13.3	376	23.8	764	48.4	173	11




Question 11.4

Are litter and “dog mess” a problem in any of the following places?

Litter	No. Households	% Households
NEAR HOME	584	37
RECREATION AREAS	559	35.4
PAVEMENTS AND VERGES	764	48.4
NO PROBLEMS	417	26.4


Dog Mess	No. Households	% Households
NEAR HOME	327	20.7
RECREATION AREAS	435	27.6
PAVEMENTS AND VERGES	441	28
NO PROBLEMS	552	35



Question 11.5

How often does your household feel that it is bothered by adverse noise from the following sources?


Noise Types	Never Experienced		Infrequently		Often	
	No. Households	% Households	No. Households	% Households	No. Households	% Households
TRAIN NOISE	1050	66.6	379	24	86	5.5
A3 NOISE	779	49.4	499	31.6	237	15
ARMY RANGES NOISE	464	29.4	775	49.1	276	17.5
HELICOPTERS NOISE	289	18.3	696	44.1	530	33.6



Question 11.6

Do you feel that the countryside has changed around the Parish in recent years?


Change of Countryside	No. Households	% Households
FOR BETTER	120	7.6
FOR WORSE	502	31.8
NOT CHANGED	476	30.2
DON'T KNOW	355	22.5
SPOILT	124	7.9
Total	1577	100



Question 11.7

Has your household experienced any of the following problems on public rights-of-way in the Parish?

Problems on Rights-of-Way	No. Households	% Households
BROKEN GATES / STILES	151	9.6
UNSUITABLE GATES / STILES	116	7.4
FARM ANIMALS	40	2.5
ACCESS DENIED	85	5.4
OBSTRUCTIONS	144	9.1
LACK SIGNPOSTS	304	19.3
LITTER	635	40.3
FLY-TIPPING	586	37.2
VEHICULAR DAMAGE	308	19.5
OTHER PROBLEMS	86	5.5



Summary of “Love”, “Hate” and “Would Change” Comments

Things Households "Love" about the Parish			
Subject Area	Number of Comments	Key Phrasing	Other Comments Included
Environment in which we live	257	Beautiful surroundings/area	wonderful, pleasant
	165	Peaceful and quiet	rural way of life
	171	Access to countryside and open spaces	bucolic, rural, proximity
	14	Good environment	quality of living
	38	Country walks	foot paths
	13	Clean and tidy area	well kept village,
	5	Fresh air	air quality, healthy environment
	68	Low crime and safe	lack of crime, good street lighting, for children,
	45	Radford park	
	24	Others	wild life, trees, woods, bird life, Weavers Down,
Location in relation to other areas	130	Proximity to coast/London/airports/Downs	convenient location
	12	In relative proximity to towns/sea/country	
	22	To large towns	
	11	Other areas	local small towns, towns of interest
Access to other areas	147	Mainline station	access, links provided, service provided
	27	Road links	
	27	Good transport links	generalised statements
	3	Public transport	
	26	Access to local amenities	shops, doctors, dentists, vets, banks -proximity
	3	Other	close to school, work
Local community	269	Friendly	neighbours, happy atmosphere,
	196	Community spirit	generalised statement used often and without specific qualification
	150	Village atmosphere	size, number off people, compact, life style, blend of town and country
	12	Relatives live locally	
Community activities	275	Liphook in Bloom activity	village upkeep, flower beds
	39	Carnival	
	34	LiDBA-bike ride	
	33	Others	voluntary work, community Magazine, carol singing, preservation society, cinema, pantomime
	80	Sports, social and support groups and clubs	U3A, Peak Centre, voluntary support, tennis, bowls, breadth of range, Scouts and Guides
	9	Church community	
	9	Care of elderly	Community care, Peak centre
	23	Wide range of activity	for adults, for retired, organised events, play groups, lots of activity, plenty to do
Support services	99	Good location for range of amenities and facilities	doctors, dentists, vets, small service businesses, cinema, voluntary support groups
	55	Good range of shops	small independent, good bakers, pharmacy, wine merchant, co-op
	37	Good mix of small & large shops and businesses	
	16	Sainsbury	
	97	Good schools	
	19	Doctors and dentists	
	12	Parking	easy, free
	10	Pubs and restaurants	
	9	Library	
Others	3	Recreation ground & play areas	
	12	Housing	affordable, good recent development ,mix of housing
	2	Parish Council	
	1	Low density housing	
	1	Village centre	
	2	Village green	
	2	Conford Village hall	
	1	Millennium Hall	
	3	250 service	
	2	Postmen/women	
	4	council services	

Things Household "Hate" about the Parish			
Subject Area	Number of Comments	Key Phrasing	Other Comments Included
Housing, shops, services & facilities	169	too many houses and overdevelopment	(infilling, garden grabbing, urbanisation)
	4	too many flats	
	5	too much social housing	
	6	too little social housing	
	18	poor infrastructure	
	17	planning controls inadequate	
	2	lack of vision about future	
	84	empty shops premises	Station road
	20	Station road looks rundown	
	106	lack of small shops and variety of shops	butcher, greengrocers, independent shops, not enough choice generates traffic, drives out smaller shops, creates lorry traffic
	144	Sainsbury too dominant	
	2	only one supermarket	
	6	Lack of local employment	
	10	only one petrol station	
	49	lack of restaurants, good pubs	<i>but before opening of Golden Boat on Midhurst road</i>
	2	lack of doctors surgeries	living away from Liphook centre
	7	too far to hospital/A&E	
	2	no NHS dentist	
	1	no antenatal NHS clinic	
	13	post office closure in Passfield	
	8	Liphook post office not in centre	
	33	no sports centre/swimming pool	
	39	lack of facilities for youth	
	1	lack of adult education opportunities	
	4	lack of cycle paths	
	4	poor skate park	
	38	poor train service	
33	lack of bus service	out of Liphook	
28	lack of public transport		
3	poor street lighting		
1	street lighting too bright		
40	poor policing	insufficient presence	
3	facilities for disabled		
2	no cinema		
People	47	vandalism	at recreation ground, Radford Park,
	41	drunks and drug takers	drinkers on Village Green, village Square at night, rowdy, revellers, sale to minors
	27	antisocial behaviour	
	168	youth problems	yobbish behaviour, hoodies, intimidating behaviour, groups hanging around

Environment	134	litter	fly tipping, school children, scruffy appearance
	3	litter bins not empties	
	22	dog mess	
	32	noise from A3	
	29	noise from helicopters	
	5	noise from army ranges	
	30	noise general	recreation ground, neighbours, Bohunt, bottle bank, at night from pubs, off road bikes
	2	railway	
	19	poor condition of pavements	
	17	standard of grass cutting and verges	
	63	condition of roads	<i>but before relaying of Headley Road</i>
	6	poor street lighting	
	9	smell of sewerage, drains	
	4	pedestrian crossings in Square	
	289	village traffic	school run, rush hours, village centre, too many cars, congestion, rat runs
30	lorries in village	too many, too large, noise	
6	traffic system in village not adequate		
88	speeding traffic	Haselemere road, Passfield road	
51	parked cars	illegal, not enough car park space at station or Millennium Hall, on pavements	
Other	53	Parish Council	conflict on council, not working together, exempt sessions, squabbling, takes no notice of complaints, oblivious of community, fails to respond to complaints
	2	too many layers of local government	
	4	EHDC, HCC	forgotten by EHDC
	2	council tax too high	
	24	rubbish collection cycle	needs to be once per week
	5	Bohunt School	
	4		
	3		
	1	school facilities poor	
	16	two centre village	
	14	village now too large	village to town shift
	6	state of remaining undeveloped OSU site	
	6	safety from theft & burglary	
	1	lack of toilets in Radford Park	
	2	no lift at station	
2	street furniture		
3	signs on Sainsbury's roundabout		

Things Households would Change or Improve in Parish			
Subject Area	Number of Comments	Key phrasing	Other comments included
Traffic Issues	10	Remove lorries passing through the village	control on time of day.
	54	Improve management of traffic in the Square	enforce 20mph speed limit, build by pass
	31	Improve traffic management for village	at peak times. During school run
	12	Reduce traffic volume	
	58	Improve traffic management around schools	
	7	Increase use traffic control "humps"	
	14	Develop a village "by-pass"	relief road-Haslemere, Longmore/Portsmouth road
	5	Reduce traffic use of rat runs	
	65	Improve speed control measures	speed camaras, traffic calming humps, enforce limits, Haslemere Road, Lognmore road, Hewshott lane
	17	Improve speed control in rural areas	
	2	reduce speed limit at Passfield	
	26	Provide pedestrian crossings	Village Square, Longmore Road, Passfield, the Avenue, to Village Surgery, at sorting office
	2	Remove speed traps	
	19	Restrict car parking on roads	By Village green, on Church road, in general, yellow lines on Longmore road
	67	Improve car parking facilities	Millennium Hall, Station, Recreation Ground, Village Square, Bohunt, Junior and Infant schools, residents permits
	1	Ban cars form Junior/Infant Schools	
	1	Provide parking for the disabled	
	1	Remove yellow lines from Village square	
	1	Less expensive parking at station	
2	Give residents parking permits		
1	Employ traffic warden		
Transport facilities	23	Provide regular out of town bus services	
	7	More stops on local service	service to Bramshott, hewshott lane
	1	No buses in Liphook	
	1	Implement dial a bus service	
	65	Provision of more frequent trains	improve service,
	19	Better public transport	
Development and industry	98	Less development	to reduce pressure on schools, doctors, too crowded, protect green areas, enforce building regulations
	16	More affordable housing	family housing
	12	Less affordable housing	
	3	More bungalows for older people	
	1	On new developments 2 parking bays per dwelling	
	2	Admit it's a town	
	2	Improve standard of buildings	
	1	Less retirement homes	
	1	More rented accommodation	
	3	Larger infant and junior schools	
	10	Have a Village Plan	Just one village centre, village needs a centre
	3	Complete OSU development site	
	2	Less legislation on planning system	
	2	No development at Bohunt Manor	
	7	More light industry	
	14	Increase local employment	encourage small businesses to be established
	2	Reduce business rates	
	2	Increase housing with houses	
	64	Regenerate area of Newtown and Station Road	Industrial area, regenerate shops, drainage, attract businesses.
Facilities and Services	133	Improve road surfaces and pavements	repair pot holes, improve pavements
	118	Improve litter clearance and control	in hedges, from take aways, start public campaign, employ dedicated cleaners, remove broken glass, at road junctions
	16	Provide more rubbish bins	
	33	Cut back hedges and grass verges	improve equipment, pick up grass, remove vegetation from paths
	163	Provide better and more visible policing	stop antisocial behaviour, answer 999 calls, be present in office, more active community officer activity, at weekends
	3	More neighbourhood watch	
	16	Stop teenagers loitering	
	16	Reduce drinking in public areas	
	4	Improvement in bad behaviour in public areas	
	78	More facilities for teenagers	
	1	New skate park	
	12	Provision of youth Club	
	1	Make Haskell Centre a youth club	
	2	Help for unemployed teenagers	
	1	More special events for adults	

Facilities & Services cont.	1	Improve facilities for dsabled	
	11	Improve adult facilities beyond U3A	
	200	Provision of smaller shops	better variety, more choice, local produce, greengrocers, butchers, cloths, make it a town
	30	More or another supermarket	improves choice, reduces dominance
	19	Remove, control Sainsbury	not permitted to expand
	3	Build out of town shopping complex	
	1	No more estate agents	
	1	More banks	
	3	Less take aways	
	9	More petrol stations	
	75	Improve variety of restaurants, pubs	quality, selection, pub in Bramshott
	1	Coffee shop for young people	
	1	More frequent "occasional" markets	
	10	No more empty shops	
	1	Owners forced to smarten up shops	
	84	Provide swimming pools, improved sports facilities	leisure centre
	2	Improve Bohunt sport facilities	
	12	Improve Radford park	allow fishing
	8	Improve Library	opening hours, selection of books place one on Village Green, recreation ground, annual maintenace
	26	Improve children's play areas	
	13	Provide more open green spaces	
	1	Better use of common land	
	1	More recreation activities	
	1	provide more allotments	
	47	Improvement in Parish Council	more openeness, less secrecy, properly voted in. less exempt sessions, change some councillors, less selfprotecting, less childish
	3	Reduce layers of local government	parish, district, county only
	33	Increase rubbish collections	make weekly
	1	Reduce garden refuse collection costs	
	14	Better health facilities	comprehensive health centre, more surgeries, provide poly-clinic
	5	NHS dentist	
	22	Reopen Passfield post office	
	5	Reopen sorting office as post office	
	1	Increase pre-school facilities	
	31	More cycle paths	improve paths
	2	Remove cycle paths	
	27	Better street lighting	at Griggs green
	10	Improve railway station facilities	for pensioners, raise platforms
	8	Improve drainage/sewage system	
	8	Provide more recycling centres	
	7	Move Sainsbury recycling point	
	1	Remove gates from bridle paths	
	10	Improve toilet facilities in village	more and better
	2	Better and more allotments	
	12	More footpath signs	
	12	Improve footpaths	
	2	provide "A" levels at Bohunt School	
	2	Reduce helicopter flights helicopters	
	6	Quieter A3	resurface, erect barriers
	3	Improve appearance of school children	
	3	Teach children to respect village	
	1	Subsidise Carnival	
	3	Cheaper rents for Scouts/Guides	
	2	Free garden waste collection	
	1	Improve TV reception	
	2	Regular clearing of storm drains	
	1	Extend gas main on Longmore Road	
	1	Cut down overhanging trees	
	1	Control off road biking	
	1	A Liphook in Bloom for whole parish	
	1	Grit side roads	
	8	More information about events	
	2	Reduce street furniture	
	1	More events at Millennium Hall	
	1	Enlarge Millennium Hall	
	4	Improve general facilities	
	3	Better net working for young mothers	
	1	Breakfast club for school children	
	3	Better dog control in recreation areas	have a dog warden.
	1	Help elderly get to shops	
	1	Colour pavement edge to for the poorly sighted	
	1	Keep bohunt Manor as parkland for public	

Comparison with Hampshire County Council (HCC) estimated figures for 2000 based on 1991 Census Figures (Source "Facts & Figures for East Hampshire 2000")

	Males				Females				% Total Population
	Age	Number Males	Age category / Total No. Males (%)	Age Category / Total No. People (%)	Age	Number Females	Age category / Total No. Females (%)	Age Category / Total No. People (%)	
Survey data	0-4 yrs	79	4.2	2	0-4 yrs	71	3.5	1.8	3.8
	5-10 yrs	141	7.4	3.6	5-10 yrs	145	7.1	3.7	7.3
	11-15 yrs	148	7.8	3.8	11-15 yrs	135	6.6	3.4	7.2
	16-17 yrs	64	3.4	1.6	16-17 yrs	65	3.2	1.6	3.2
	18-24 yrs	165	8.7	4.2	18-24 yrs	123	6	3.1	7.3
	25-59 yrs	760	40.1	19.3	25-59 yrs	864	42.2	21.9	41.2
	60-64 yrs	178	9.4	4.5	60-64 yrs	180	8.8	4.6	9.1
	65-75 yrs	207	10.9	5.2	65-75 yrs	246	12	6.2	11.4
	>75 yrs	155	8.2	3.9	>75 yrs	220	10.7	5.6	9.5
	Total	1897	100	48	Total	2049	100	52	100
HCC Figures			48.4				51.6		

Census Age Categories	HCC Prediction for 2000 Based on 1991 Census	Survey Data (based on approx aggregation to from age categories similar to Census)
0-4 yrs	5.7	3.8
5-15 yrs	13.9	14.5
16-29 years	16.7	10.5
30-44 yrs	19.8	
45-59 yrs	22	41.2
60-64 yrs	4.9	9.1
65-74 yrs	8.4	11.4
>75 yrs	8.5	9.5

Appendix 2 Notes

- All results are calculated using the final total number of household returns (1577).
- Results are sourced from the master spreadsheet of results (Results_05.xls 19th March 2009).
- Questions where households were required to enter numbers of people within the household have been reported only as numbers of households, not numbers of people. This is due to the high level of errors and variation in respondents' answers. It is believed this was due to a general misunderstanding of these particular questions.
- Some questions did not provide mutually exclusive options and therefore the percentages will not sum to one hundred percent.
- Spoilt returns for each question are calculated from the total number of returns minus the number of positive returns for that question and shown where appropriate.
- Survey results have been retained for use if required by the Parish Plan Working Party so that survey answers can be correlated with areas of the Parish where appropriate.